

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,572	08/16/2005	Shinichi Ishikawa	Q85803	4205
65565 SUGHRUE-26	55 7590 10/07/2008 GHRUE-265550		EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW			MARC, MCDIEUNEL	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3664	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/521.572 ISHIKAWA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MCDIEUNEL MARC 3664 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 June 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 August 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/521,572 Page 2

Art Unit: 3664

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-5 are pending.

2. Amendment to the drawings filed on 08/16/2005, and amendment to the claims filed on

01/18/2005 had been entered.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract should be a single

paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

 Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

invention.

6. Regarding claim 5, line 2, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is

unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See

MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/521,572

Art Unit: 3664

7. Regarding claim 5, line 2, the phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-5 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated

by Gräser (Technological Solutions to Autonomous Robot Control, 1998)

As per claims 1 and 2, <u>Gräser</u> as best understood teaches an autonomous robot that equates to a carrier robot system (see fig. 1) comprising: a robot which has a placement portion for placing an object presenting a low-profile form thereon and carries the object (see fig. 1, and section 3., wherein the end effector being taken as a placement portion); a robot controller for controlling the robot (see fig. 1, particularly the "robot controller"); a jig mounted on the placement portion of the robot and having an image pickup member (see fig. 1, particularly the camera); an image processing portion for processing an image picked up by the image pickup member (see section 5.); and a superior control portion for controlling the robot controller and image processing portion from a superior position (see fig. 5, wherein the computer system being

Art Unit: 3664

taken as superior control); a predetermined placement position (see fig. 1, wherein the end effector being taken as predetermine placement position) and transforming a position on the coordinate system of the image pickup member into a position on a coordinate system of the robot to determine the placement position (see fig. 2 and section 5 and the entire document).

As per claim 3, **Gräser** as best understood teaches an autonomous robot wherein a transformation matrix for transforming a relationship between the coordinate system of the image pickup member and the coordinate system of the robot in translation and rotation is determined in advance (see section 7, wherein having transformation matrix belongs to programming choice), and the position of the characteristic part in the coordinate system of the image pickup member is transformed into a position in the coordinate system of the robot (see section 5).

As per claim 4, <u>Gräser</u> as best understood teaches an autonomous robot wherein the jig is removable from the placement portion during conveyance of the object presenting a low-profile form (see fig. 1, wherein the transposition being interpreted as being removable from the placement portion during conveyance).

With respect to claim 5, having a characteristic part such as a hole, a pin, a mark, a letter pattern or the like is provided in the vicinity of the placement position does not have any patentable weight.

Art Unit: 3664

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to MCDIEUNEL MARC whose telephone number is (571)272-

6964. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Khoi Tran can be reached on (571) 272-6919. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/McDieunel Marc/

Examiner, Art Unit 3664

Tuesday, September 02, 2008 /KHOL TRAN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3664